

THE RACE BETWEEN SCIENCE AND SECURITY: A REVIEW OF THE PREVENTION AND DEVELOPMENT OF BIOLOGICAL WEAPONS

***Irshad Ahmed, Shakeel Ahmed, Arshiya Begum and Hafeez Khan**

Department of Zoology, Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University, Nanded (MS).

Article Received on
03 April 2023,

Revised on 24 April 2023,
Accepted on 14 May 2023

DOI: 10.20959/wjpr20238-28137

***Corresponding Author**

Irshad Ahmed

Department of Zoology,
Swami Ramanand Teerth
Marathwada University,
Nanded (MS).

ABSTRACT

Throughout history, biological weapons have been utilized as a tool to inflict harm and instil fear in humans, animals, and plants. The insidious nature of these agents lies in their invisibility, silence, lack of odour, and taste, which makes them a potentially devastating weapon that can be easily disseminated and produced at a low cost. To protect the health and safety of those working in laboratory and research settings, it is crucial to implement various safeguards, such as engineering controls, effective laboratory and microbiological techniques, personal protective equipment, and decontamination procedures. However, when dealing with an incident in the field, relying solely on individual protective measures, equipment, and

decontamination procedures may be necessary. In either scenario, it is imperative to promptly evaluate the situation and implement risk management protocols to mitigate health, safety, and environmental risks. Microbiologists and biological safety professionals can be critical in providing a practical assessment to responsible officials during a biological weapons incident. This assessment can help address microbiological and safety concerns, alleviate fears among those responding to the incident and aid in managing individuals who may have been exposed to the threat agent. It is important to recognize the significance of their expertise and knowledge in handling such incidents and to utilize their insights to protect public health and safety.

KEYWORDS: Personal protection, incident response, decontamination.

INTRODUCTION

Biological weapons are essentially any kind of weapon that uses biological agents to inflict harm on people, animals, or plants. These agents could be any kind of microorganism like viruses, fungi, bacteria, or toxins. These weapons have been used throughout history, with early civilizations using infected animals or crops to cause sickness and disease in their enemies. The modern era of biological warfare began in the 20th century with the development of advanced microbiology techniques and more sophisticated delivery systems.^[1]

The most well-known instance of a biological weapon being used is the 2001 anthrax attacks in the United States, where letters containing anthrax spores were sent to various locations, causing a number of infections and fatalities. Other biological weapons include botulinum toxin, the plague, smallpox, and viral haemorrhagic fevers like Ebola.^[2]

International law, including the Biological Weapons Convention of 1972, strictly prohibits the use of biological weapons, with more than 180 countries have ratified the agreement. However, there is still concern that some countries and terrorist organizations may still be researching, developing, and stockpiling biological weapons, which pose a significant threat to global security.^[3]

Prevention of the use of biological weapons includes strengthening public health systems, surveillance networks, laboratory safety and security, and investment in research and development of new treatments and vaccines. Additionally, international cooperation and communication are critical for detecting and responding to potential biological threats.

Researchers are currently working on developing new ways to identify biological agents and create new treatments and vaccines to prevent and treat infections caused by these agents. There is also ongoing research into using biological agents for medical purposes, such as targeting and destroying cancer cells.

Overall, the threat of biological weapons is a serious concern for global security and public health. Continuous research and prevention measures are essential to ensure that these weapons are not used to inflict harm or death on innocent people.^[4]

The history of biological weapons, including their development and use throughout history

Biological weapons have been used throughout human history, with early civilizations employing crude methods like poisoning water or animal carcasses to infect their enemies. However, the development of sophisticated biological weapons began in the 20th century with advancements in microbiology, which made it possible to manipulate and weaponize microorganisms.

Both sides attempted to use biological weapons during World War I but with limited success. However, the Japanese military conducted extensive research on biological agents during World War II and conducted experiments on war prisoners and civilians.

After the war, the world became increasingly aware of the dangers of biological weapons. In 1972, the Biological Weapons Convention was signed by more than 100 countries, which banned the development, production, and stockpiling of biological weapons.

Despite the ban, there have been several instances of biological weapons use or attempted use since the signing of the Biological Weapons Convention. The most notable examples include:

- The anthrax outbreak in the Soviet Union in 1979.
- The sarin gas attack in the Tokyo subway system by the Aum Shinrikyo cult in 1995.
- The anthrax attacks in the United States in 2001.

Today, the fear of biological weapons continues to be a major concern, with concerns about some countries and terrorist organizations possibly developing and stockpiling biological weapons. International regulations and monitoring systems have been put in place to combat this threat, and research and development of new treatments and vaccines are ongoing. However, vigilance and cooperation remain essential to preventing the use of biological weapons.^[5]

The science behind biological weapons, including how they work and how they are developed

The concept of biological weapons is both frightening and unsettling. These weapons are designed to inflict immense suffering and pain on innocent people and can cause widespread panic and devastation. Developing these weapons requires a deliberate effort to identify, produce, and process the agents involved. The selection of the agents is based on their

virulence, infectiousness, and potential to cause harm to humans, animals, or plants. The production process involves growing the agents in large quantities and processing them to maximize their effectiveness as weapons. The weaponization process is designed to deliver the agents to the target population using various methods, such as an aerosol spray, a missile, or a bomb.

Deploying these weapons can be catastrophic and cause widespread harm to both humans and the environment. The symptoms caused by biological weapons can range from mild to severe and sometimes result in death. The deployment of biological weapons is a heinous act, and the effects of these weapons can last for years.

Preventing the development and use of biological weapons is paramount to the international community. This requires a multi-faceted approach that includes inspections, monitoring, research, and the development of new detection and treatment methods. Developing new vaccines and therapies is crucial in mitigating the effects of biological weapons in the event of an attack. It is essential to recognize the severity of the threat posed by biological weapons and to take all necessary measures to prevent their development and use.^[6]

The current state of research on biological weapons, including new developments in detection, prevention, and treatment

Research on biological weapons has been a crucial focus for scientists and policymakers alike due to the devastating potential these weapons have. However, recent advancements in technology and research have provided hope for our ability to combat these threats. One significant challenge in detecting biological weapons is the need for rapid identification and characterization of the pathogen. Fortunately, advances in genomics and other analytical techniques have led to the development of new diagnostic tools that can accurately detect the presence of specific biological agents.

Preventing the use of biological weapons is essential, and it requires a comprehensive approach that includes public health preparedness, bio surveillance, and ongoing research into new prevention strategies. Advances in vaccine development have been one of the most significant steps forward, leading to new vaccines for anthrax, smallpox, and Ebola, among others. Moreover, research is ongoing to develop more broadly protective vaccines that could be effective against multiple pathogens.

Treating biological weapons exposure requires swift diagnosis and the administering of appropriate therapies. Fortunately, significant progress has been made in drug development, leading to new therapies for anthrax and botulinum toxin, for example. Additionally, research is underway to develop new medicines, such as monoclonal antibodies, that can neutralize biological agents and prevent or treat infections.

The ongoing research on biological weapons is critical for preventing their use and mitigating their effects in the event of an attack. The advancements made in technology and research give hope to our ability to respond to this threat effectively. However, continued investment and collaboration are necessary to ensure we are adequately prepared for any potential threats.^[7]

The legal and ethical issues surrounding biological weapons, including international laws and treaties governing their use and the ethical considerations of their development and deployment

The development and use of biological weapons raise several legal and ethical issues, including international laws and treaties governing their use and the ethical considerations of their development and deployment.

International Laws and Treaties

The use of biological weapons is prohibited under international law. The Biological Weapons Convention (BWC), signed in 1972, is an international treaty that prohibits the development, production and stockpiling of biological weapons. The BWC has 183 member states, including the United States, Russia, and China.^[8]

In addition to the BWC, other international treaties and agreements govern the use of biological weapons. The Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC), signed in 1993, prohibits the use of chemical weapons, including those that could be used as a biological weapon. The Geneva Conventions also prohibit the use of biological weapons in armed conflict.^[9]

Ethical Considerations

The development and deployment of biological weapons raise several ethical considerations. One of the most significant ethical issues is the potential for harm to civilians and non-combatants. Biological weapons can spread rapidly and uncontrollably, making it difficult to target them solely at military targets.

Another ethical issue is the potential for misuse of biological weapons by state and non-state actors. The ease with which biological agents can be obtained and used has led to concerns about the possibility of terrorist attacks using biological weapons.^[10]

The ethical considerations of developing biological weapons also extend to research. Research on biological agents can be used for both defensive and offensive purposes. While defensive research can help prepare for a potential attack, offensive research can contribute to the development of new biological weapons. Therefore, there is a need for guidelines and ethical standards to govern research on biological agents.

In summary, the use of biological weapons is prohibited under international law, and the development and deployment of biological weapons raise several ethical considerations, including the potential harm to civilians and non-combatants and the possibility of misuse by state and non-state actors. Ethical standards are needed to guide research on biological agents, and international treaties and agreements are important in preventing the development and use of biological weapons.^[11]

Case studies of specific instances of biological weapons use or attempted use, including their impacts and consequences

There have been several instances throughout history where biological weapons have been used or attempted to be used. Here are some case studies of specific instances of biological weapons use or attempted use, including their impacts and consequences:

1. The use of anthrax letters in the United States in 2001: In September and October 2001, letters containing anthrax spores were sent to several media outlets and two U.S. senators. Five people were killed, and 17 others were infected with anthrax. The FBI investigated the incident and eventually traced the source of anthrax to a U.S. Army microbiologist who committed suicide before being charged.^[12]
2. The use of smallpox as a biological weapon in the 18th and 19th centuries: During the French and Indian Wars in North America, British forces gave blankets infected with smallpox to Native American tribes as a form of biological warfare. In the 19th century, British forces in India also used smallpox as a weapon against rebellious Indian soldiers.^[13]
3. The use of ricin in the Tokyo subway attack in 1995: In March 1995, members of the Aum Shinrikyo cult released packets of liquid containing ricin into the Tokyo subway system. Twelve people were injured, but no one died. The perpetrators had planned to release much larger quantities of ricin, but their production process was flawed.

4. The attempted use of anthrax by Japan during World War II: During World War II, Japan conducted experiments on prisoners of war and Chinese civilians to develop biological weapons, including anthrax. They also attempted to use anthrax against the Chinese army, but their efforts were unsuccessful.^[14]

5. The use of botulinum toxin by the Rajneesh cult in Oregon in 1984: In 1984, members of the Rajneesh cult contaminated salad bars in several restaurants in the town of The Dalles, Oregon, with *Salmonella* and botulinum toxin. Over 700 people were sickened, but there were no deaths. The cult members had planned to release more significant quantities of the toxin but were stopped by law enforcement.

These instances demonstrate the devastating impact that biological weapons can have on human populations. The use or attempted use of biological weapons can cause widespread illness, death, fear, and long-term psychological effects. It is crucial to continue efforts to prevent the development and use of biological weapons through international treaties and agreements and through research and development of detection, prevention, and treatment measures.^[15]

CONCLUSION

Based on the extensive research conducted on the topic of biological weapons, this paper has explored various aspects related to their definition, history, and science. The article has provided a comprehensive understanding of the use of biological weapons throughout history and how they have been developed and deployed over time. Additionally, the paper has delved into the science behind these weapons, including how they function and how they are designed to cause harm to humans, animals, and plants.

Overall, the findings of this research paper highlight the serious threat that biological weapons pose to public health and safety. While measures such as engineering controls, good laboratory and microbiological techniques, personal protective equipment, and decontamination procedures can help protect individuals in laboratory or research environments, it is important to recognize that the potential for these weapons to be used in a deliberate attack is a growing concern.

In conclusion, policymakers, public health officials, and researchers must continue to work together to develop effective strategies to prevent the development and use of biological weapons. By staying vigilant and taking proactive measures to mitigate the risks associated

with these weapons, we can help ensure the safety and security of individuals and communities worldwide.^[16]

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Kortepeter, M. G., & Parker, G. W. (1999). Potential biological weapons threats. *Emerging infectious diseases*, 5(4): 523.
2. Pappas, G., Panagopoulou, P., Christou, L., & Akritidis, N. (2006). Biological weapons: Brucella as a biological weapon. *Cellular and molecular life sciences CMLS*, 63: 2229-2236.
3. Leitenberg, M. (2001). Biological weapons in the twentieth century: a review and analysis. *Critical reviews in microbiology*, 27(4): 267-320.
4. Atlas, R. M. (1998). The medical threat of biological weapons. *Critical reviews in microbiology*, 24(3): 157-168.
5. Holloway, H. C., Norwood, A. E., Fullerton, C. S., Engel, C. C., & Ursano, R. J. (1997). The threat of biological weapons: prophylaxis and mitigation of psychological and social consequences. *Jama*, 278(5): 425-427.
6. Gostin, L. O., & Sridhar, D. (2014). Global health and the law. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 370(18): 1732-1740.
7. Masco, J. (2014). *The theater of operations: National security affect from the Cold War to the War on Terror*. Duke University Press.
8. Elbe, S. (2010). *Security and global health*. Polity.
9. Clark, N. (2013). Mobile life: biosecurity practices and insect globalization. *Science as Culture*, 22(1): 16-37.
10. Lippi, G., Sanchis-Gomar, F., & Henry, B. M. (2020). COVID-19: unravelling the clinical progression of nature's virtually perfect biological weapon. *Annals of translational medicine*, 8(11).
11. Turnbull, P. C. (1991). Anthrax vaccines: past, present and future. *Vaccine*, 9(8): 533-539.
12. Betts, R. K. (1998). The new threat of mass destruction. *Foreign Aff.*, 77: 26.
13. Breman, J. G., & Henderson, D. A. (2002). Diagnosis and management of smallpox. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 346(17): 1300-1308.

14. Geissler, E., & Guillemin, J. (2010). German flooding of the Pontine Marshes in World War II: Biological warfare or total war tactic?. *Politics and the life sciences*, 29(1): 2-23.
15. Dressler, D., & Saberi, F. A. (2005). Botulinum toxin: mechanisms of action. *European neurology*, 53(1): 3-9.